Certificate of Electronic Submission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted electronically to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on September 21, 2006 (Date of Submission)

FRANK C. NICHOLAS (33,983)

Name of applicant, assignee or registered representative

/Frank C. Nicholas/

Signature

September 21, 2006
Date of Signature

PATENT Case No. NL 000585 (7700/250)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re p	atent application of:	
	ROBERT D. SCHOFIELD	
Serial	No.: 10/040,172	Examiner: AL AUBAIDI, RASHA
Filed:	OCTOBER 23, 2001	Group Art Unit: 2642
For:	USER CONTROL OF TELEPHONE SWITCH THROUGH A HTTP CLIENT APPLICATION	

REPLY BRIEF

Mail Stop **Appeal Brief - Patents** Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Appellant herewith respectfully presents a Reply Brief in response to an

Examiner's Answer dated July 21, 2006 as follows:

September 21, 2006 Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359)

Serial No.: 10/040,172

Filed: October 23, 2001 Page 2 of 18

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	1 445
2.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	4
3.	STATUS OF CLAIMS	5
4.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS	6
5.	SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER	7
6.	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL	8
7.	ARGUMENT	ç
8.	CLAIMS APPENDIX	15
9.	EVIDENCE APPENDIX	None
10.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX	None

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359) Serial No.: 10/040,172

Filed: October 23, 2001 Page 3 of 18

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

A statement identifying the real party in interest is contained in the Appeal Brief dated December 20, 2004.

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359)

Serial No.: 10/040,172 Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 4 of 18

2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appellant and the undersigned attorney are still not aware of any other appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or having a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359) Serial No.: 10/040,172 Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 5 of 18

3. STATUS OF CLAIMS

A statement of the status of claims is contained in the Appeal Brief dated December 20, 2004.

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359) Serial No.: 10/040,172

Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 6 of 18

4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

A statement of the status of amendments is contained in the Appeal Brief dated December 20, 2004.

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359) Serial No.: 10/040,172

Filed: October 23, 2001 Page 7 of 18

5. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

A statement of the summary of the clamed subject matter is contained in the Appeal Brief dated December 20, 2004.

September 21, 2006 Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359)

Serial No.: 10/040,172 Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 8 of 18

6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

Claims 17-19 stand finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,445,921 to *Bell*.

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359) Serial No.: 10/040,172

Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 9 of 18

ARGUMENT

"Operatively Associated". The Applicant respectfully asserts that Examiner Al Aubaidi's assertion that the Applicant is attempting to read limitations from the specification into claims 17-19 is extremely unusual and untenable is in fact a common tactic by examiners to support an ambiguous rejection. In this case, Examiner Al Aubaidi asserts Wood does not teach or suggest one of a web page or a web browser being operatively associated with a calling number of a telephone, yet supports his assertion on a basis of the terms "associated" and "operatively associated" having the same definitional scope.

A review of the argument in the Appeal Brief reveals the fact that the Applicant never relies on the specification for defining the term "operatively associated" and thus does not attempt to read limitations from the specification into claims 17-19. Clearly, the argument in the Appeal Brief is directed to showing there must be a distinction between terms "associated" and "operatively associated" with the term "operatively associated" having a narrower definitional scope than the broader term "associated" in view of the word additional work "operatively". To support this distinction, the argument in the Appeal Brief states how *Wood* teaches the web page is operatively associated with the subscriber. This feature of *Wood* enables the subscriber to be able to utilize the web page for a telephone function based on a calling number of the telephone that is passively, not operatively, associated with the web page via the subscriber. In other words, the web

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359)

Serial No.: 10/040,172 Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 10 of 18

know which web page to provide to the subscriber with the web page including a designated telephone number. Thus, the web page is only beneficial to the subscriber for purposes of using telephone if and only if the telephone is in the proximity of the user.

Otherwise, the web page is useless for purposes of the subscriber using the telephone.

By comparison, the present invention operatively associates a web page or a web browser to a telephone number whereby the web server identifies a telephone number in the proximity of the subscriber as associated with a web page requested by the subscriber or a web browser being used by the subscriber. Thus, the web server is always capable of identifying a calling number of a telephone in the proximity of the subscriber.

In summary, the Applicant was not attempting to read limitations into the claims, but was clarifying a proper interpretation of *Woods* to thereby address the ambiguous rejection set forth by Examiner Al Aubaidi.

Obviousness Rejection. In the Appeal Brief, the Applicant's argument was generally based on an anticipation rejection of claims 17-19 as being anticipated by Wood. Nonetheless, to cover both anticipation and obviousness rejections of claims 17-19, the Applicant's argument in the Appeal Brief was specifically based on demonstrating (1) a failure of Wood to disclose the subject matter of claims 17-19 for anticipation purposes and (2) a teaching away by Wood from the subject matter of claims 17-19 for obviousness purposes. Thus, the following assertions by the Applicant is

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359)

Serial No.: 10/040,172 Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 11 of 18

related in the context of an obviousness rejection of claims 17-19 as being unpatentable

over Wood with an emphasis of Wood's teaching away of the subject matter of claims

17-19.

Obviousness Standard. To establish a prima facie case of obviousness, three

basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in

the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill

in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must

be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references

when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. The teaching or

suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success

must both be found in the prior art, not in applicant's disclosure. In re Vaeck, 947 F.2d

488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991). See, MPEP 2143.

<u>Claims 17-19</u>. The Appellant respectfully traverse the obviousness rejection of

independent claims 17-19, because Wood fails to teach or suggest the following

limitations of claims 17-19 and fails to provide a suggestion or a motivation to be

modified to include the following limitations of claims 17-19:

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359)

Serial No.: 10/040,172

Filed: October 23, 2001 Page 12 of 18

1. "wherein one of the web page and the web browser is operatively

associated with a calling number of the telephone" as recited in

independent claim 17;

"wherein the web page is operatively associated by the web server

with a calling number of the telephone" as recited in independent claim

18; and

3. "wherein the web browser is operatively associated by the web

server with a calling number of the telephone" as recited in independent

claim 19

Wood. A proper understanding of **Wood** reveals that **Wood** fails to teach or

suggest the aforementioned limitations of independent claims 17-19 as well as fails to

provide a suggestion or motivation to be modified to include the aforementioned

limitations of independent claims 17-19.

Specifically, Wood teaches a user subscription system whereby only the web page

is operatively associated with the subscriber. See, Wood at column 9, lines 46-64. Wood

fails to teach the web page being operatively associated with a calling number of a

particular telephone or a web browser being operatively associated with a calling number

of a particular telephone.

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359) Serial No.: 10/040,172

Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 13 of 18

In the Final Office Action, Examiner Al Aubaidi recognizes that *Wood* fails to teach or suggest the aforementioned limitations of claims 17-19. However, Examiner Al Aubaidi asserts that the term "operatively associated" does not have a definite meaning, and is therefore broad enough to read on a web page that simply contains a calling number of a telephone or a web browser that simply contains an account listing of a calling number of a telephone.

The Applicant respectfully disagrees with Examiner Al Aubaidi, because the term "associated" has a definite definition that can be found in an dictionary as a verb that broadly means "a connection with another", and the term "operatively" has a definite definition that can be found in any dictionary as an adverb that means "in an operative manner". Thus, the term "operatively associated" has a definite definition that broadly means "an connection with another in an operative manner". Thus, the Applicant thereby respectfully asserts that the proper interpretation of the aforementioned limitations of claims 17-19 is that the web page or the web browser are in connection with a calling number of a telephone in an operative manner. As such, the term "operatively associated" excludes any non-operative manner for associating a web page or a web browser to a calling number of a telephone, such as, for example, a listing of the calling

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359)

Serial No.: 10/040,172 Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 14 of 18

number on the web page wherein the listing is incapable of facilitating an operation of the web page, and a listing of the calling number in a web browser account wherein the listing is incapable of facilitating an operation of the web browser.

Withdrawal of the rejection of independent claims 17-19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Wood* is therefore respectfully requested.

Dated: September 21, 2006 Respectfully submitted, ROBERT D. SCHOFIELD

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. Box 3001 Briarcliff, New York 10510 Jack D. Slobod Registration No. 26,236 Attorney for Appellant

CARDINAL LAW GROUP

Suite 2000 1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201

Phone: (847) 905-7111 Fax: (847) 905-7113

/FRANK C. NICHOLAS/

Frank C. Nicholas Registration No. 33,983 Attorney for Appellant

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359)

Serial No.: 10/040,172 Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 15 of 18

CLAIMS APPENDIX

17. A communication system, comprising:

a telephone;

a telephone switch operative to interact with the telephone and provide a control

function to a user of the telephone; and

a web server connected to the telephone switch and operative to provide the

control function to the user of the telephone via a web page provided by the web server

and accessible by a web browser, wherein one of the web page and the web browser is

operatively associated with a calling number of the telephone.

18. In a communication system employing a telephone and a telephone switch, a web

system comprising:

a web server; and

a web page provided by the web server and accessible via a web browser;

wherein the web server is operable to interact with the telephone switch

and the web page is operable to interact with the web browser to thereby provide a

control function to a user of a telephone, and

wherein the web page is operatively associated by the web server with a

calling number of the telephone.

Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359)

Serial No.: 10/040,172 Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 16 of 18

19. In a communication system employing a telephone and a telephone switch, a web system comprising:

a web server; and

a web page provided by the web server and accessible via a web browser operatively associated by the web server with a calling number of the telephone,

wherein the web server is operable to interact with the telephone switch and the web page is operable to interact with the web browser to thereby provide a control function to a user of a telephone.

September 21, 2006 Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359) Serial No.: 10/040,172

Filed: October 23, 2001 Page 17 of 18

EVIDENCE APPENDIX

None.

September 21, 2006 Case No. NL 000585 (7790/359)

Serial No.: 10/040,172 Filed: October 23, 2001

Page 18 of 18

RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.